# New methodology to determine the terminal height of a fireball

## Manuel Moreno-Ibáñez, Josep M. Trigo-Rodríguez & Maria Gritsevich



## Summary

- Background
- Equations of motion
- Simplifications
- Database
- Results
- Discussion
- Conclusions
- References

#### New methodology to determine the terminal height of a fireball Background (I)

- **Asteroid: diameter > 10 m.**
- **Meteoroid: diameter < 10 m.**
- **Meteor: meteoroid that interacts with the Earth atmosphere.**
- **Fireball: meteor that is able to get deep in the atmosphere. Brightness similar to Venus.**
- **Great Fireball: bigger fireball that is able to get to lower altitudes and can reach a brightness similar to the Moon.**
- **Meteorites: meteor that survives to its atmospheric flight and reaches the ground.**
- **Micrometeorites: small grains that get the atmosphere at low velocities and are deposited on the ground .**

## Fireballs and Meteorites



*Adapted from Rendtel et al. (1995)*

### **Ceplecha y McCrosky (1976)**

- Study of the Prairie Network to find similar meteorites to the Lost City meteorite.
- They used four criteria: End height agrees with the single-body theoretical value, calculated using *dynamic mass* , as well as with that of Lost City too within ±1.5km, when scaled for mass, velocity and entry angle in accordance with classic meteor theory.

**Stulov et al. (1995), Stulov (1997) and Gritsevich (2007)**

- Study of the Prairie Network to distinguish between ordinary and carbonaceous chondrites.
- The end height as the principal discrimating observational parameter in their discussion (*photometric mass*).
- Empirical Criterium:

 $PE = \log \rho_E + A \log m_\infty + B \log V_\infty + C \log(\cos Z_R)$ 

• A, B and C obtained by Least–Squared fit on PN data.

### **Wetherill and Revelle (1981)**

- Instead of using the average values as input parameters, they gathered all the unknowns into two new variables, α and β (ballistic coefficient and mass loss parameter).
- Adjusting the equation to the registered values these new variables can be obtained.
- This describes in detail the meteoroid trajectory and allow to invent a classification for possible impacts.

## Equations of motion

*Drag force* **The equations of motion for a meteoroid entering the atmosphere projected onto the tangent and to the normal to the trajectory 1**



$$
M \frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2} c_d \rho_a V^2 S + P \sin \gamma
$$

$$
MV \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = P \cos \gamma - \frac{MV^2}{R} \cos \gamma - \frac{1}{2} c_L \rho_a V^2 S
$$
  

$$
\frac{dh}{dt} = -V \sin \gamma
$$

#### **3 Extra equations**

Isotermal atmosphere  $\rightarrow \rho = \exp \left( h^{}/\,h_{_{0}}\right)$  $Levin (1956) \rightarrow S/S_e = (M/M_e)^{\mu}$ 



4 Use of dimensionless parameters 
$$
M = M_e m; V = V_e v; S = S_e s; h = h_0 y; \rho_a = \rho_0 \rho
$$
  
Where index e indicates values at the entry of the atmosphere.  
h0 is the scale height (7.16 km)

10

 $\int$  $\left\{ \right.$  $\mathbf{r}$ 

*Initial conditions* :  $y = \infty$ ;  $v = 1$ ;  $m = 1$ : *Analytical solution of system*

$$
m = \exp\left(-\frac{\beta(1 - v^2)}{1 - \mu}\right)
$$
 [1]  

$$
y = \ln 2\alpha + \beta - \ln \Delta, \quad \Delta = \overline{E}i(\beta) - \overline{E}i(\beta v^2)
$$
 [2]  

$$
\overline{E}i(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{e^z dz}{z}
$$

**In this methodology we gather all the unknown values of the meteoroid's atmosphere flight motion equations into two new variables (Gritsevich, 2009):**

$$
\alpha = \frac{1}{2} c_d \frac{\rho_0 h_0 S_e}{M_e \sin \gamma}
$$

#### *Ballistic Coefficient Mass loss parameter*

$$
\beta = (1 - \mu) \frac{c_h V_e^2}{2c_d H^*}
$$

## Simplifications

**For quick meteors, a strong evaporation process takes place so β becomes high (β** *>>* **1), the deceleration can be neglected and the velocity thus assumed constant. Stulov (1998, 2004) developed the following asymptotic solution:**



**1**

$$
v = 1, \quad m^{1-\mu} = 1 - 2\alpha \beta e^{-y}, \quad \ln 2\alpha \beta < y < \infty \quad [3]
$$

**However, the meteor velocity begins to decrease in a certain vicinity of m=0. In order to account for this change in velocity we combine the Eq.[1] (valid for arbitrary β values) with the Eq. [3] suitable for high β values:**

$$
v = \left(\frac{\ln\left(1 - 2\alpha\beta e^{-y}\right)}{\beta} + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \ln 2\alpha\beta < y < \infty \quad [4]
$$

#### New methodology to determine the terminal height of a fireball Database

### Database

**In order to test this methodology, we compare our derived terminal heights results with the fireball terminal heights registered by the Meteorite Observation and Recovery Project operated in Canada between 1970 and 1985 (MORP) (Halliday et al. 1996). We use previous α and β values derived by Gritsevich (2009).**



0.878 0.630 0.826 198.96

241

421.15

0

## Resolution

**Adjusting the (vi, yi) values of Eq. [2] to the trajectory observed (vi, yi) values by means of a weighted least-squares method. Assigning manually the weighted factors may be quite complicated, so, since the height and velocity of a meteor decrease while it gets closer to the surface, the solution was proved to perform better if we take an exponential form of eq. [2] (see Gritsevich, 2008 for further details):** 

$$
2\alpha \exp(-y) - \Delta \exp(-\beta) = 0, \quad \Delta = \overline{E}i(\beta) - \overline{E}i(\beta v^2)
$$

$$
\overline{E}i(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{e^z dz}{z}
$$

**We can derive these new variables (α, β) for each meteoroid by minimizing this expression.**

$$
Q(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (F_i(y_i, y_i, \alpha, \beta))^2
$$
  
F<sub>i</sub>(y<sub>i</sub>, v<sub>i</sub>, \alpha, \beta) = 2\alpha exp(-y<sub>i</sub>) - \Delta<sub>i</sub> exp(-\beta) = 0

**From Eq.[3], at the point were m=0 we have:** 

$$
h_l = h_0 y_t = h_0 \ln 2\alpha \beta \quad [5]
$$

**If we reorder Eq.[4] we have:** 

$$
h_{II} = h_0 y_t = h_0 \ln \left( \frac{2\alpha\beta}{1 - e^{\beta \left( v_t^2 - 1 \right)}} \right) \tag{6}
$$

## Results

$$
h_{I} = h_{0} y_{t} = h_{0} \ln 2\alpha\beta
$$

$$
\ln 2\alpha\beta \qquad \qquad h_{II} = h_0 y_t = h_0 \ln \left( \frac{2\alpha\beta}{1 - e^{\beta \left( v_t^2 - 1 \right)}} \right)
$$





#### New methodology to determine the terminal height of a fireball Results (II)



**Differences could be due to the use of eq. [4] established for high β.**

 $\beta \rightarrow \beta - 1.1$ **As suggested by Gritsevich et al. (2015), we would rather use the**  $h_{III} = h_0 y_t = h_0 \ln \left( \frac{2\alpha(\beta-1.1)}{1 - \alpha(\beta-1.1)(v_t^2-1)} \right)$ 



$$
h_{III} = h_0 y_t = h_0 \ln \left( \frac{2\alpha (\beta - 1.1)}{1 - e^{(\beta - 1.1)(v_t^2 - 1)}} \right)
$$
 [7]

#### New methodology to determine the terminal height of a fireball Results (III)

$$
h_{I} = h_{0} y_{t} = h_{0} \ln 2\alpha\beta
$$



$$
h_{II} = h_0 y_t = h_0 \ln \left( \frac{2\alpha\beta}{1 - e^{\beta \left(v_t^2 - 1\right)}} \right)
$$



$$
h_{III} = h_0 y_t = h_0 \ln \left( \frac{2\alpha(\beta - 1.1)}{1 - e^{(\beta - 1.1)(v_t^2 - 1)}} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{obs}
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{0}
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{III}
$$

## **Discussion**

**For β >5 eq. [5] shall give good results. But the decrease in v near the terminal point is not considered.**

**Eq.[6] shows a lineal tendency. Still discrepancies in all β values.**

**The modification made in [7] leads to a good agreement between observed and theoretical data. However at low β, some discrepancies appear.**

**The inverse problem is possible for non decelerated bodies if the terminal height is known → constraints in α and β.**

**Meteor height as a function of time new problems may be scoped: - Determination of luminous efficiency based on meteor duration. - Critical Kinetic Energy to produce luminosity.** 

**The small discrepancies at low β shall be taken into account for future planetary defense applications. Meteoroids could reach lower height than those predicted.**

**We use dimensionless parameters instead of the empirical set A,B, C coefficients of Ceplecha and McCrosky (1976). However α and β keep the same variable dependency as the PE criterium.** 

• **We have derived the terminal heights for MORP fireballs using a new developed methodology.** 

• **This methodology had only been tested on several fully ablated fireballs with large β values (Gritsevich and Popelnskaya, 2008).**

• **We were particularly interested in determining whether this new mathematical approach works equally for fully ablated fireballs and meteorite-producing ones.**

• **We introduced a new modification in the methodology which allows to get a higher accuracy.**

• **We foresee a calculation of terminal height to be useful when the lower part of the trajectory was not instrumentally registered.**

• **It also brings critical knowledge into the problem when one needs to predict how long will be a total duration of the luminous flight or at which height a fireball produced by a meteoroid with given properties would terminate.**

• **Based on our investigations we can highly recommend the use of equation [7] also to solve inverse problem when terminal height and velocity are available from the observations, and parameters α and β need to be derived.**



### **M. Moreno-Ibáñez, et al., Icarus 250, 544-552 (2015).**

**mmoreno@ice.csic.es, trigo@ice.csic.es, maria.gritsevich@nls.fi**

Z. Ceplecha and R.E. McCrosky, J.Geophys. Res. 81, 6257-6275 (1976). M. Gritsevich, Solar Syst. Res. 41 (6), 509-514 (2007). M. Gritsevich, Solar Syst. Res. 42, 372-390 (2008). M. Gritsevich and N.V. Popelenskaya, Doklady Phys. 53, 88-92 (2008). M. Gritsevich, Adv. Space Res. 44. 323-334 (2009). M. Gritsevich et al., Mat. Model. 27 (2) 25-33 (2015). I. Halliday et al., Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 31, 185-217 (1996). V.P. Stulov et al., Aerodinamika bolidov, Nauka (1995). V.P. Stulov, Appl. Mech. Rev. 50, 671-688 (1997). V.P. Stulov, Planet. Space Sci. 46, 253-260 (1998). V.P. Stulov, Planet. Space Sci. 52 (56), 459-463 (2004). G.W. Wetherill and D.O. Revelle, Icarus 48, 308-328 (1981).